## Mathematics A

## Mark Scheme for November 2012

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

## Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | Correct |
| * | Incorrect |
| [000 | Benefit of doubt |
| FTr | Follow through |
| LSW | Ignore subsequent working (after correct answer obtained), provided method has been completed |
| M0 | Method mark awarded 0 |
| M1 | Method mark awarded 1 |
| [42 | Method mark awarded 2 |
| ${ }^{41}$ | Accuracy mark awarded 1 |
| -81 | Independent mark awarded 1 |
| [ $\square^{2}$ | Independent mark awarded 2 |
| [國 | Misread |
| [ac | Special case |
| $\square$ | Omission sign |

These should be used whenever appropriate during your marking.
The M, A, B, etc annotations must be used on your standardisation scripts for responses that are not awarded either 0 or full marks. It is vital that you annotate these scripts to show how the marks have been awarded.
It is not mandatory to use annotations for any other marking, though you may wish to use them in some circumstances.

## Subject-Specific Marking Instructions

1. $\mathbf{M}$ marks are for using a correct method and are not lost for purely numerical errors.

A marks are for an accurate answer and depend on preceding $\mathbf{M}$ (method) marks. Therefore M0 A1 cannot be awarded.
B marks are independent of $\mathbf{M}$ (method) marks and are for a correct final answer, a partially correct answer, or a correct intermediate stage. SC marks are for special cases that are worthy of some credit.
2. Unless the answer and marks columns of the mark scheme specify $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ marks etc, or the mark scheme is 'banded', then if the correct answer is clearly given and is not from wrong working full marks should be awarded.

Do not award the marks if the answer was obtained from an incorrect method, ie incorrect working is seen and the correct answer clearly follows from it.
3. Where follow through (FT) is indicated in the mark scheme, marks can be awarded where the candidate's work follows correctly from a previous answer whether or not it was correct.

Figures or expressions that are being followed through are sometimes encompassed by single quotation marks after the word their for clarity, eg FT $180 \times\left(\right.$ their ' 37 ' +16 ), or FT $300-\sqrt{ }\left(\right.$ their ' $\left.5^{2}+7^{2 \prime}\right)$. Answers to part questions which are being followed through are indicated by eg FT $3 \times$ their (a).

For questions with FT available you must ensure that you refer back to the relevant previous answer. You may find it easier to mark these questions candidate by candidate rather than question by question.
4. Where dependent (dep) marks are indicated in the mark scheme, you must check that the candidate has met all the criteria specified for the mark to be awarded.
5. The following abbreviations are commonly found in GCSE Mathematics mark schemes.

- figs 237, for example, means any answer with only these digits. You should ignore leading or trailing zeros and any decimal point eg $237000,2.37,2.370,0.00237$ would be acceptable but 23070 or 2374 would not.
- isw means ignore subsequent working after correct answer obtained and applies as a default.
- nfww means not from wrong working.
- oe means or equivalent.
- rot means rounded or truncated.
- $\quad$ seen means that you should award the mark if that number/expression is seen anywhere in the answer space, including the answer line, even if it is not in the method leading to the final answer.
- soi means seen or implied.

6. In questions with no final answer line, make no deductions for wrong work after an acceptable answer (ie isw) unless the mark scheme says otherwise, indicated for example by the instruction 'mark final answer'.
7. In questions with a final answer line following working space,
(i) if the correct answer is seen in the body of working and the answer given on the answer line is a clear transcription error allow full marks unless the mark scheme says 'mark final answer'. Place the annotation $\checkmark$ next to the correct answer.
(ii) if the correct answer is seen in the body of working but the answer line is blank, allow full marks. Place the annotation $\checkmark$ next to the correct answer.
(iii) if the correct answer is seen in the body of working but a completely different answer is seen on the answer line, then accuracy marks for the answer are lost. Method marks could still be awarded. Use the M0, M1, M2 annotations as appropriate and place the annotation $x$ next to the wrong answer.
8. As a general principle, if two or more methods are offered, mark only the method that leads to the answer on the answer line. If two (or more) answers are offered, mark the poorer (poorest).
9. When the data of a question is consistently misread in such a way as not to alter the nature or difficulty of the question, please follow the candidate's work and allow follow through for $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ marks. Deduct 1 mark from any $\mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{B}$ marks earned and record this by using the MR annotation. M marks are not deducted for misreads.
10. Unless the question asks for an answer to a specific degree of accuracy, always mark at the greatest number of significant figures even if this is rounded or truncated on the answer line. For example, an answer in the mark scheme is 15.75 , which is seen in the working. The candidate then rounds or truncates this to $15.8,15$ or 16 on the answer line. Allow full marks for the 15.75.
11. Ranges of answers given in the mark scheme are always inclusive.
12. For methods not provided for in the mark scheme give as far as possible equivalent marks for equivalent work. If in doubt, consult your Team Leader.
13. Anything in the mark scheme which is in square brackets [...] is not required for the mark to be earned, but if present it must be correct.

| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Part Marks and Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (a) | (£)7 | 1 |  |  |
|  | (b) | (£)1.5(0) | 2 | M1 for 5 - 3.5(0) |  |
|  | (c) | (£)20 | 2 | B1 0.30 or 0.45 or 0.60 or 1.50 or 3 seen as a difference (not a percentage) | May be seen in table Assume these are $£$ and accept equivalents as pence. Condone missing units and first and last zeroes eg . 3 |
|  | (d) | (£)67.55 | 3 | M1 for any combination of given full prices from table $=96.5 \mathrm{soi}$ <br> M1 dep for changing their given full prices to sale prices <br> Condone 1 error <br> If $\mathbf{0}$ scored, then $\mathbf{S C} 2$ for $96.5 \times 0.7$ oe <br> Or SC1 for $96.5 \times 0.3$ oe or better | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eg } 10 \times 9(+) 5(+) 1.5 \text { or } \\ & 10 \times 10-2-1.5 \\ & \text { eg } 7 \times 9(+) 3.5(+) 1.05 \text { or } \\ & 7 \times 10-1.4-1.05 \\ & \text { oe }=96.5-96.5 \times 0.3 \\ & =[£] 28.95 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | (a) | 1800 (psi) | 2 | B1 for 2500 or 700 or 4300 or figs18 seen or attempt to count back from arrow tip to red zone | May be contained in attempted sum or difference. <br> May be seen in counting marks on diagram. |
|  | (b) | 120 (minutes) | 2 | B1 for 2 hours Or M1 for $60 \times 2$ oe |  |
| 3 | (a) | Diameter drawn | 1 | Line intended straight close to centre of circle | Mark intention to reach circle. Where there is a choice between a radius and a diameter, mark the radius unless diameter clearly indicated. |



| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | Part Marks and Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (b) | (i) | 4 points plotted <br> Join all their points | 1 1FT | Half square accuracy Ignore plots at $(0, \ldots)$ and $(5, \ldots)$ <br> Mark intention (ignore below 1 week) | Use overlay |
|  |  | (ii) | Any value from 110 to 290 inclusive | 1 | If a range, award one correct limit |  |
| 5 | (a) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0401 \\ & 0.401 \\ & 4.01 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{0}$ for correct but reversed order | Condone obvious transcription errors that do not render answer ambiguous and extra zeroes on right hand end |
|  | (b) |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |
|  | (c) |  | $\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{5}{8} \quad \frac{3}{4}$ | 2 | Condone $\frac{4}{8} \quad \frac{5}{8} \quad \frac{6}{8}$ and equivalents, eg $\frac{8}{16}$ etc or decimal versions $(0.5,0.625,0.75)$ or percentages <br> M1 for any fraction correctly converted to have a denominator that is a multiple of 8 or for one of $0.5,0.625$ or 0.75 or $50 \%, 75 \%$ or $62.5 \%$ <br> or 3 diagrams with attempted $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}$ and $\frac{5}{8}$ shaded Or B1 for correct but reversed order | eg $\mathbf{M 1}$ for $\frac{3}{4}=\frac{12}{16}$ or $\frac{1}{2}=\frac{40}{80}$ |
| 6 | (a) |  | $136$ <br> (Angles on) straight line $=180$ | $1$ | Condone half a turn but not half a circle |  |


| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | Part Marks and Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (b) |  | $\begin{aligned} & (p=) 75 \\ & (t=) 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \mathrm{FT} \end{gathered}$ | 180-75 - their $p$ correctly evaluated or $180-2 \times$ their $p$ correctly evaluated <br> M1 for 180-2 $\times$ their $p$ or 180-75-their $p$ Or SC1 for correct but reversed | Accept on diagram |
| 7 | (a) |  | 1(.0) | 1 | Condone additional wrong zeroes such as 01.00 |  |
|  | (b) | (i) | 3 and (0). 2 | 1 | Must be in this order |  |
|  |  | (ii) | Points plotted at $(6,0.1)$ (2, 0.3) $(1,0.6)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | -1 for each extra point Mark intention each time <br> If $\mathbf{0}$, then $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{2}$ for (0). $1 \times 6$ and ( 0 ). $3 \times 2$ and ( 0 ). $6 \times 1$ seen Or M1 for one of (0). $1 \times 6$ or (0). $3 \times 2$ or (0). $6 \times 1$ seen | Use overlay |



| Question |  |  | Answer | Marks | Part Marks and Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | (a) |  | 2 points plotted $\pm 1 / 2$ vertical unit | 2 | B1 for 1 point plotted $\pm 1 / 2$ vertical unit <br> If $>2$ points plotted -1 for each extra. Ignore points from (c) ie on line is ok | Overlay available |
|  | (b) |  | Ruled line drawn | 1 | From age 10 to 20 | Within tramlines |
|  | (c) | (i) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7-5.1 \\ & 5.8-6.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) | Marco <br> Data more strongly correlated for younger ages | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | Accept their value for Marco | Condone other relevant arguments. Mark best part even if contradictory. <br> AO for 'More accurate', 'More points', 'fits the pattern' |
| 10 |  |  | Line (curve) joining $(9,160)$ to (9-10, 180) <br> Horizontal line from their $(9-10,180)$ to (12, their 180) <br> Line joining their $(12,180)$ down to (...., their $180 \div 2$ ) <br> and line back up to (1, their 180) <br> Horizontal line from their $(1,180)$ to (3-3.30, their 180) then down to (3-3.30, 0) | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | Or SC2 for 4 correct corners identified <br> Or SC1 for 2 correct corners identified | Mark to candidate's benefit Overlay available Mark corners by eye Condone freehand No credit for sections > 180 LHS scheme does not apply to lines that 'go back in time' <br> Includes U shaped (even straight lines) from their $(12,180)$ to any point (12, their 90) to (1, their 180) |


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Part Marks and Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | (a) | 6 | 1 |  | May be embedded |
|  | (b) | $x>4$ | 2 | M1 for $3 x>12$ <br> If $\mathbf{0}$, then $\mathbf{S C 1}$ for answer $(x=) 4$ or 5 | Correct first step <br> May be embedded |
| 12 | (a) | 36 | 1 |  |  |
|  | (b) | 125 is greater than their $6^{2}$ | 2 | Accept $6^{2}$ if value for $6^{2}$ seen in 12(a) <br> B1 for $\left(\frac{5^{7}}{5^{4}}=\right), 5^{3}$ or $5 \times 5 \times 5$ or 125 | Candidate may restart for $6^{2}$ <br> Implied by $25 \times 5$ |

## APPENDIX 1

Exemplar responses for question 4a

| Response | Mark | Reason | Response | Mark | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Her weight is too low as it's underneath the lowest healthy weight | 0 | False, her weight is sometimes above the lowest healthy weight | Her weight was healthy at first but then it began to drop | 1 | Mark the best part, "Her weight.....First" Second part false, her weight never drops |
| For the first 3 weeks Rosy is a healthy weight | 1 | Correct | For the last 2 weeks her weight is below the lowest healthy weight line | 1 | Correct because mentions "below" |
| In the first 2 weeks Rosy's weight was healthy | 1 | Condone implication that the third is not | In the last 3 weeks Rosy's weight decreased and her weight is unhealthy | 0 | Wrong period defined AND weight decreasing is wrong |
| Rosy has a unhealthy weight as she grows up because it is out of the range | 0 | False, her weight is sometimes above the lowest healthy weight | Rosy does not put on very much weight. It increases slowly as she grows. | 1 | Actual value not used but statement is correct |
| In the first 2 weeks Rosy is very healthy | 1 | This is true, even though not a complete statement of the period | As it progresses she seems to get more unhealthy | 0 | "It" is not defined. Statement not true for the first 3 weeks |
| The first 2 weeks she's at an ideal weight but by the 3rd week.... ...she starts to drop getting to a weight of 455 on week 4 | 1 | Mark the correct first part. Second part is wrong but does not negate first statement. | She is not a healthy weight and needs to put some weight on to be at a healthy weight | 0 | It is not defined as to when she needs to put on weight |
| Rosy's weight started off well and was a healthy weight | 1 | Correct | Rosy then went below a healthy weight at the age of 3 weeks. After 3 weeks she is not healthy | 1 | Second statement is a corollary of the first but says under weight |
| Rosy was a healthy weight but now she's not | 1 | Correct | Rosy was at an unhealthy weight from week 3 | 0 | Second statement is a corollary of the first and not under weight |
| Rosy starts off healthy and then it deteriorates so by the end she isn't very healthy weight | 1 | Correct | She is putting on weight just not as quickly as she should be | 1 | Correct statement covering a different feature (rate of gain) |


| Response | Mark | Reason | Response | Rark | Reason <br> When she is born she is a healthy <br> weight <br> $\mathbf{1}$ <br> "drops out". Condone "at" <br> for "during". |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| When Rosie was born she was <br> 200g which was a healthy weight | $\mathbf{1}$ | Condone "when born" <br> for Week 1 | At week 4 drops out of the lowest <br> healthy weight section <br> instead of week 1 | At 5 weeks she was 430g which was <br> underweight meaning she wasn't a <br> healthy weight | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Wrong value in comment <br> 2 but states unhealthy. |  |  |  |  |  |
| be considered healthy |  |  |  |  |  |

Exemplar responses for question 9cii

| Statement | Mark | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| More younger people jumped near the line of best fit | $\mathbf{1}$ | Implies "better correlation" or <br> clustering around the line |
| There is more of a clear correlation at Marco's age | Implies "better correlation" or <br> clustering around the line |  |
| The points are closer together | Doesn't describe closer to the <br> line but implies "to each other" |  |
| The younger people who took part jumped closer to the line of best fit | $\mathbf{1}$ | Describes closer clustering |
| They are all around an average and the line of best fit is better there | $\mathbf{0}$ | They are not around an average <br> and the correlation is not better |
| It is closer to the line which is positive correlation | The reading is from the line and <br> no mention of greater clustering <br> of points |  |
| His age section eg 10, 11 and 12 are all close to 4.9 | $\mathbf{0}$ | False statement |
| Ages 10 and 11 show a pattern of the distance they jumped and Marco's distance was more reliable <br> as it was similar to the pattern | $\mathbf{0}$ | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |
| All the other jumpers in that region are about the same | $\mathbf{0}$ | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |
| It's supposed to be closer to the actual jump because the others near his age will have roughly the <br> same | $\mathbf{0}$ | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |
| That's where his age range should have jumped | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |  |
| It's right between people who are older or younger than him | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |  |
| It best fits on the line and is equal to the others | $\mathbf{0}$ | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |


| Statement | Mark | Reason |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Marco is much closer to the line of best fit and Carl isn't near anyone in his age group | $\mathbf{0}$ | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |
| The estimate is similar to those near it but the older ones are more spread out | $\mathbf{0}$ | Doesn't define "better <br> correlation" |
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